

STANISLAUS COUNTY COMMUNITY CORRECTIONS PARTNERSHIP

Executive Committee Meeting Minutes Wednesday – February 16, 2022 Stanislaus County Probation Department

MEMBERS/DESIGNEES PRESENT

MARK FERRIERA, Chief Probation Officer, Probation Department
BIRGIT FLADAGER, District Attorney
JENNIFER JENNISON, Public Defender
RUBEN IMPERIAL, Director, Behavioral Health & Recovery Services
BRANDON GILLESPIE, Chief, Modesto Police Department

GUESTS:

Vicki Martin, Administrative Services Manager, Probation Department
Tracie Martin, Realignment Manager, Probation Department
Leticia Ruano, Assistant Chief Probation Officer, Probation Department

1. **CALL TO ORDER AND INTRODUCTIONS**

The meeting was called to order at 9:06 a.m. by Chief Probation Officer Mark Ferriera. Members of the group present in the meeting were identified.

2. **PUBLIC COMMENT**

No members of the public were present.

3. **ADOPTION OF MINUTES FROM DECEMBER 9, 2021, MEETING**

MOTION: District Attorney, Birgit Fladager. SECOND: Brandon Gillespie. The minutes from December 9, 2021, meeting were approved.

4. **CCP PLAN AND FIVE-YEAR BUDGET**

Chief Probation Officer Mark Ferriera went over the five-year budget worksheet, noting that the revenue was substantial (the spreadsheet is attached to the minutes). CPO Ferriera said that the CCP did not get any growth money in Fiscal Year 2020/2021 and, in anticipation of a downturn due to the pandemic, expected no growth for a few years; nonetheless, \$2 million in growth revenue was obtained in the Fiscal Year 2021/2022. Furthermore, according to the Governor's budget projections released in January, the CCP will receive \$1.5 million in growth, including a significant base increase of nearly \$29 million for the Fiscal Year 2022/2023.

CPO Ferriera noted that historically, CCP revenue was exclusively used to fund realignment-related expenditures and recommended continuing this practice. He informed the CCP Executive Committee that he plans on presenting the CCP Plan and five-year budget to the Board of Supervisors in late April early May. With departments' allocated positions and funding at a standstill for some time now, CPO Ferriera encouraged the CCP Executive Committee to analyze their departments' needs and submit their proposals for funding consideration and reconvene in a few weeks to review any proposals submitted. A template is available and will be forwarded to the group to submit their requests before the next CCP Executive Committee meeting, allowing the five-year budget to be adjusted and reviewed at the meeting.

Vicki Martin, Administrative Services Manager, Probation Department, noted that CARE 2.0 was funded for three years and suggested extending the program's funding for the full five years. She explained that the full CCP allocation was not received in the Fiscal Year 2020/2021. The State has since restored the base, resulting in a significant growth increase, noting the budget she was presenting is an estimate based on the Governor's January budget projections.

District Attorney Birgit Fladager stated that changes in the law created a homeless population that is not tied to realignment yet falls under the responsibility of the group present. She stated that if CCP funds have a much broader form to assist the Realignment Population and other populations, she will favor extending CARE 2.0. The group discussed using CCP funds for out of custody programming and programming that would focus on the homeless, substance abuse, and mental health population separate from realignment. CPO Ferriera advised the CCP Executive Committee that a letter from Diane Cummins from the Department of Finance was received approving counties to use realignment money as a funding source for what the CCP Executive Committee chooses. He stated that the Realignment Population has always been his primary focus but believes there is enough funding to move on to other populations.

CPO Ferriera stated that a healthy portion of funds is available and allocated solely to programming. The CCP budget has a \$1 million line item allocated for RFP to attract service providers for the Day Reporting Center. Additionally, the Probation Department has a \$629,000 line item for program services and supplies. CPO Ferriera suggested increasing the funding amount in the RFP programming line item to attract quality community-based organizations. The group agreed that this county currently lacks vendors that would be appropriate to provide quality services, and an increase in funding to pay for such services would be needed.

The group discussed different ideas on how to help the different populations the county is having to manage yet are unable to track due to the lack of resources. Behavioral Health and Recovery Services Director Ruben Imperial noted he would favor investing in a Mental Health Court to triage the individuals that form this population. Additionally, he noted that the involvement of the courts and legal system is needed in determining these individuals' mental state and deciding if they should stand trial or be ordered programming. Chief Gillespie stated that the Modesto Police Department is currently part of a pilot program developed to take calls off the screens for police officers called Chat, suggesting that it would be a good idea to expand the program. Through the Chat Team, individuals are dealt with at a social work level and connected to services without dealing with law enforcement. Other ideas that were discussed were the following:

- Expanding JBCT
- Focus on individuals with misdemeanors in need of being assessed.
- Mobile Crisis Response Team
- Underfilling positions that are hard to fill and investing in training
- Expand funding to add positions to existing programs
- Reclassifying positions
- Housing

Mr. Imperial shared that he and the Sheriff are collaborating on a proposal to embed a BHRS Clinician and an EMT in the Sheriff's Department Mobile Unit. He noted that the proposal would be brought before the Board of Supervisors. Assistant Chief Probation Officer Leticia Ruano expressed concerns about the BHRS staffing challenges, stressing that having good programs with unfilled positions results in a shortage of available services. It was noted that staffing issues affect programming, program recommendations must continue, and discussions about what is causing the staffing issues must occur. It was noted that the departments with ongoing staffing shortages cannot compete with other departments with higher pay scales and do not see the issue going away unless approval to raise the salaries is received.

Mr. Imperial shared that funding opportunities for case management were forthcoming.

Mr. Imperial asked if an allocation of funding could be set aside with a preliminary estimate pending discussion with the courts regarding establishing a Mental Health Court. Chief Ferriera proposed adding a contingency line item to the CCP Budget, which would allow the CCP Executive Committee to make expenditure decisions without the need for prior Board of Supervisor's approval. Birgit Fladager stated that she preferred a line item dedicated to the Mental Health Court.

Jennifer Jennison informed that the Public Defender's Office is presently in the RFP process for a case management system specific to a public defender-oriented case management system and will no longer utilize Atomogy.

CPO Ferriera announced that the CCP Executive Committee would reconvene in the following weeks to review any proposals submitted and the five-year budget. Any proposals received will be forwarded to the group before the meeting. The meeting time and date will be forthcoming in addition to the link to the Community Corrections Partnership Request for Funding.

The meeting adjourned at 10:22 a.m.

FTE	2020-2021 Budget plan	2020-2021 (Actuals)	% Spent	2021-2022	2022-2023	2023-2024	2024-2025	2025-2026	2026-2027
SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT									
Detention Base									
Salary and Benefits	49	\$ 7,058,962	\$ 7,058,962	\$ 7,058,962	\$ 7,058,962	\$ 7,058,962	\$ 7,058,962	\$ 7,058,962	\$ 7,058,962
Services and Supplies (Incl Programming)		\$ 393,600	\$ 393,600	\$ 393,600	\$ 393,600	\$ 393,600	\$ 393,600	\$ 393,600	\$ 393,600
Admin Overhead (Capped)		\$ 745,256	\$ 745,256	\$ 745,256	\$ 745,256	\$ 745,256	\$ 745,256	\$ 745,256	\$ 745,256
Salvation Army Beds (Additional 5 Beds)		\$ 74,000	\$ 74,000	\$ 74,000	\$ 74,000	\$ 74,000	\$ 74,000	\$ 74,000	\$ 74,000
Salvation Army Beds (Additional 10 Beds)		\$ 148,000	\$ 148,000	\$ 148,000	\$ 148,000	\$ 148,000	\$ 148,000	\$ 148,000	\$ 148,000
Subtotal		\$ 8,419,818	\$ 8,419,818	100%	\$ 8,419,818	\$ 8,419,818	\$ 8,419,818	\$ 8,419,818	\$ 8,419,818
Jail Expansion									
AB 900 Expansion - Phase I/II/III		\$ 4,000,000	\$ 4,000,000	\$ 4,000,000	\$ 4,000,000	\$ 4,000,000	\$ 4,000,000	\$ 4,000,000	\$ 4,000,000
Jail Medical AB900 Expansion		\$ 1,147,346	\$ 1,147,346	\$ 1,187,503	\$ 1,229,066	\$ 1,272,083	\$ 1,316,606	\$ 1,362,687	\$ 1,410,381
Subtotal		\$ 5,147,346	\$ 5,147,346	100%	\$ 5,187,503	\$ 5,229,066	\$ 5,272,083	\$ 5,362,687	\$ 5,410,381
Total Sheriff Department	49	\$ 13,567,164	\$ 13,567,164	100%	\$ 13,607,321	\$ 13,648,884	\$ 13,691,901	\$ 13,736,424	\$ 13,782,505
Probation Department									
Salaries & Benefits	32	\$ 4,566,982	\$ 3,133,775	\$ 4,356,528	\$ 4,487,224	\$ 4,621,841	\$ 4,760,496	\$ 4,903,311	\$ 5,050,410
Programming & Services		\$ 629,500	\$ 383,905	\$ 629,500	\$ 629,500	\$ 629,500	\$ 629,500	\$ 629,500	\$ 629,500
Crime Analyst	1	\$ 84,000	\$ 91,809	\$ 102,419	\$ 105,492	\$ 108,656	\$ 111,916	\$ 115,273	\$ 118,732
Admin Overhead (Capped)		\$ 383,896	\$ 383,896	\$ 383,896	\$ 383,896	\$ 383,896	\$ 383,896	\$ 383,896	\$ 383,896
Total Probation Department	33	\$ 5,664,378	\$ 3,993,385	70%	\$ 5,472,343	\$ 5,606,111	\$ 5,743,893	\$ 5,885,808	\$ 6,031,980
Behavioral Health & Recovery Services									
Salaries & Benefits	18	\$ 2,537,882	\$ 1,619,868	\$ 3,047,445	\$ 3,138,868	\$ 3,233,034	\$ 3,330,025	\$ 3,429,826	\$ 3,532,824
Services & Supplies		\$ 1,013,580	\$ 1,013,580	\$ 1,013,580	\$ 1,013,580	\$ 1,013,580	\$ 1,013,580	\$ 1,013,580	\$ 1,013,580
Less: Medi-Cal Revenue for MH Treatment Team		\$ (1,099,076)	\$ (1,099,076)	\$ (1,132,048)	\$ (1,132,048)	\$ (1,166,010)	\$ (1,200,990)	\$ (1,237,020)	\$ (1,274,130)
Admin Overhead (Capped)		\$ 178,216	\$ 178,216	\$ 178,216	\$ 178,216	\$ 178,216	\$ 178,216	\$ 178,216	\$ 178,216
Total BHRS Department	18	\$ 2,716,098	\$ 1,798,084	66%	\$ 3,140,165	\$ 3,198,616	\$ 3,258,821	\$ 3,320,831	\$ 3,384,702
Public Defender									
Salaries & Benefits (Attorney & Legal Clerk)	2	\$ 267,645	\$ 267,645	\$ 343,326	\$ 353,626	\$ 364,235	\$ 375,162	\$ 386,416	\$ 398,009
Indigent Defense Fund		\$ 90,000	\$ 90,000	\$ 90,000	\$ 90,000	\$ 90,000	\$ 90,000	\$ 90,000	\$ 90,000
Adult Caseworker Program	2	\$ 239,540	\$ 18,540	\$ 199,500	\$ 205,485	\$ 211,650	\$ 217,999	\$ 224,539	\$ 231,275
Lead-Social Worker		\$ 50,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Behavioral Health Clinician	0.5	\$ 75,163	\$ 75,163	\$ 75,163	\$ 75,163	\$ 75,163	\$ 75,163	\$ 75,163	\$ 75,163
Total Public Defender	4	\$ 647,185	\$ 376,185	58%	\$ 707,989	\$ 724,273	\$ 741,047	\$ 758,323	\$ 776,118
District Attorney									
Salaries & Benefits (DDA/2 LC/Victim Advocate)	4	\$ 535,261	\$ 535,261	\$ 487,414	\$ 502,036	\$ 517,098	\$ 532,610	\$ 548,589	\$ 565,046
Total District Attorney	4	\$ 535,261	\$ 535,261	100%	\$ 487,414	\$ 502,036	\$ 517,098	\$ 532,610	\$ 548,589
CARE 2.0 (CEO)									
CSA	12	\$ 1,864,615	\$ 1,864,615	\$ 1,864,615	\$ 1,599,615	\$ 1,599,615	\$ 1,599,615	\$ 1,599,615	\$ 1,599,615
CBO Contracts	1	\$ 132,000	\$ 33,997	\$ 64,000	\$ 64,000	\$ 64,000	\$ 64,000	\$ 64,000	\$ 64,000
Jail Medical Base		\$ 1,000,000	\$ 704,769	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 1,000,000	\$ 1,000,000
RAT Operations		\$ 500,000	\$ 500,000	\$ 500,000	\$ 500,000	\$ 500,000	\$ 500,000	\$ 500,000	\$ 500,000
Data Warehouse		\$ 100,000	\$ 51,724	\$ 100,000	\$ 100,000	\$ 100,000	\$ 100,000	\$ 100,000	\$ 100,000
Reserve for Contingency		\$ 55,690	\$ 6,396	\$ 55,690	\$ 55,690	\$ 55,690	\$ 55,690	\$ 55,690	\$ 55,690
Subtotal	1	\$ 3,691,141	\$ 2,266,407	61%	\$ 3,584,305	\$ 3,319,305	\$ 3,319,305	\$ 3,319,305	\$ 3,319,305
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	109	\$ 28,608,917	\$ 21,566,965	75%	\$ 26,999,537	\$ 26,999,226	\$ 27,272,064	\$ 25,953,687	\$ 26,243,985

Fiscal Year (when posts to Oracle) -->	2020-2021 Budget plan	2020-2021 (Actuals)	2021-2022	2022-2023	2023-2024	2024-2025	2025-2026	2026-2027
Stanislaus County Base	\$ 21,910,164	\$ 21,583,062	\$ 25,551,876	\$ 28,240,197	\$ 29,633,655	\$ 30,477,090	\$ 31,391,402	\$ 31,391,402
Stanislaus County Base Adjustment			\$ 327,099					
Growth			\$ 2,095,773	\$ 1,548,287	\$ 937,150			
Less: Innovation Funding		\$ -	\$ (209,577)	\$ (154,829)	\$ (93,715)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Total CCP Realign Funding	\$ 21,910,164	\$ 21,583,062	99%	\$ 27,765,171	\$ 29,633,655	\$ 30,477,090	\$ 30,477,090	\$ 31,391,402
Undesignated Fund Balance	\$ 19,546,695	\$ 19,546,171	\$ 19,562,268	\$ 20,327,901	\$ 22,962,331	\$ 26,167,357	\$ 30,680,760	\$ 35,838,578
Use of Undesignated Fund Balance	\$ 6,698,753	\$ (16,097)	\$ (765,634)	\$ (2,634,429)	\$ (3,205,026)	\$ (4,523,403)	\$ (5,147,818)	\$ (4,848,993)
PROJECTED FUND BALANCE	\$ 12,847,942	\$ 19,562,268	152%	\$ 20,327,901	\$ 22,962,331	\$ 26,167,357	\$ 30,690,760	\$ 35,838,578
Reserve - (20% of annual revenue)			\$ 5,110,375	\$ 5,648,039	\$ 5,926,731	\$ 6,095,418	\$ 6,278,280	\$ 6,278,280

Source	County %	Governor's January Budget	County Share
FY 21/22	1.60400981%	1,593,000,000	25,551,876
FY 22/23	1.60400981%	1,760,600,000	28,240,197

FY 20/21 Growth	0.9232%	227,000,000	2,095,773.00
FY 21/22 Growth	0.9232%	167,700,000	1,548,286.93
FY 22/23 Growth	0.9232%	101,500,000	937,096.74

Assumptions:
3% Salary Increase
Jail Medical AB900 Expansion - projected actuals
Admin Overhead Capped at FY 15/16 levels (per minutes from 3/2/16 CCP Exec Committee Mtg)

Note: There is no guarantee the full "base" amount will be met in a given year. In the case of a Sales Tax revenue shortfall, Counties will receive only the amount that comes in, and no growth funding will be issued. Restoration base prevents the lower amount from becoming the new base and ensures the higher revenue amount remains as base. This higher amount of base must be met before growth funding resumes. At no time will the shortfall be paid back to Counties.

FY 20/21 Base was \$21,910,164 with only \$21,583,062 received. The balance of \$327,099 was received in FY 21/22 to restore the Base amount allowing for Growth to resume
FY 20/21 Growth (to be received in FY 21/22) was estimated by CSAC (2/1/21) to be \$691,407 - actual growth received was \$2,095,773 - Diff = \$1,404,366
FY 21/22 Base was estimated by CSAC (2/1/21) to be \$22,589,270 - base included in Governor's Budget is \$25,551,876 - Diff = \$2,962,606
FY 21/22 & 22/23 Growth Revenue is estimated using the Governor's January budget and the percentage received for FY 20/21 Growth